

Appl. No. 09/328,667

Attorney Docket No.: 113394

REMARKS

Applicant has discovered errors in the claim listing set forth in applicant's RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION dated 10/17/2003.

In particular, claims 1, 11 and 21 were denoted in the paper as being claims that were "Previously Presented." In actuality, additional language was added to the versions of those claims presented in applicant's PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT dated 05/02/2003.

The present claim listing corrects the errors by a) properly labeling claims 1, 11 and 21 as being "Currently Amended" and b) by underlining the added language.

It is noted that the newly added language, "wherein said request was received from said third gatekeeper" helps to particular point out the nature of applicant's hybrid architecture, as discussed, for example, at pp.7-8 of applicant's aforementioned PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT dated 05/02/2003.

Respectfully,  
Radhika R. Roy

By: 

Ronald D. Slusky  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 26,585  
(732) 249-0900

Law Office of Ronald D. Slusky  
P.O. Box 4378  
Highland Park, New Jersey 08904-4378  
Date: 10/30/2003